



IFW

PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 049128-5048-02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Kyo Ho MOON et al.) Confirmation No.: 9943
Application No.: 10/698,551) Group Art Unit: 2871
Filed: November 3, 2003) Examiner: Ton, Minh Toan T
For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE)
AND FABRICATING METHOD)
THEREOF, AND REWORKING)
METHOD OF ALIGNMENT FILM USING))
THE SAME)

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th Street S.
Customer Window
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is a Response and Request for Reconsideration in response to the Office Action dated April 2, 2004.
2. Additional papers enclosed:

- Drawings: Formal Informal (Correction)
 Information Disclosure Statement
 Form PTO-1449, _____ references included
 Citations
 Declaration of Biological Deposit
 Submission of "Sequence Listing", computer readable copy and/or amendment pertaining thereto for biotechnology invention containing nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence.

3. Extension of Time

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) apply.

- Applicants believe that no extension of time is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicants have inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.
- Applicants petition for an extension of time, the fees for which are set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a), for the total number of months checked below:

| Total Months <u>Requested</u> | Fee for Extension | [Fee for Small Entity] |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> one month | \$ 110.00 | \$ 55.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> two months | \$ 420.00 | \$ 210.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> three months | \$ 950.00 | \$ 475.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> four months | \$ 1,480.00 | \$ 740.00 |

Extension of time fee due with this request: \$_____.

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a Petition therefor.

- An extension for _____ months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of \$_____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

4. Constructive Petition

- EXCEPT** for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

5. Fee Calculation (37 C.F.R. §1.16)

| CLAIMS AS AMENDED | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | Claims Remaining After Amendment | | Highest No. Previously Paid | Present Extra | at Rate of | Total Fees |
| Total Claims (37 C.F.R. §1.16(c)) | 9 | minus | 20 | 0 | x \$18 each = | + \$0.00 |
| Independent Claims (37 C.F.R. §1.16(b)) | 1 | minus | 3 | 0 | x \$86 each = | + \$0.00 |
| [] First presentation of Multiple dependent claim(s) | | | | | \$290.00 | + \$0.00 |
| SUB-TOTAL = | | | | | | \$0.00 |
| Reduction by ½ for filing by a small entity | | | | | | - \$0.00 |
| TOTAL FEE = | | | | | | \$0.00 |

6. Fee Payment

- No fee is to be paid at this time.
- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0310 in the amount of \$ _____ for the one-month extension of time fee.
- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Dated: June 24, 2004
By: Paul A. Fournier
Paul A. Fournier
Reg. No. 41,023

CUSTOMER NO. 09629
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 739-3000
Facsimile: (202) 739-3001



PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 049128-5048-02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Kyo Ho MOON et al.) Confirmation No.: 9943
Application No.: 10/698,551) Group Art Unit: 2871
Filed: November 3, 2003) Examiner: Ton, Minh Toan T
For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE)
AND FABRICATING METHOD)
THEREOF, AND REWORKING)
METHOD OF ALIGNMENT FILM)
USING THE SAME)

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th Street S.
Customer Window
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In response to the Office Action dated April 2, 2004, the period for response to which extends through July 2, 2004, reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Summary of the Office Action

In the Office Action, claims 10-18 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,683,668 to Moon et al. (hereinafter "Moon").